



Titley Group Neighbourhood Development Plan

Residents' questionnaire survey

Comment listings

DJN Planning Limited

September 2017

For Titley Group NDP Steering Group

Introduction

This document lists all the comments made by respondents to the Titley Group residents' questionnaire survey, which was undertaken to inform work on the Neighbourhood Development Plan (NDP) for the locality in July 2017.

The questionnaire included several open questions inviting free-write comments on aspects of development and the environment. The free-write comments are set out individually here question by question. Comments are repeated verbatim and place names are as given. They have been analysed thematically and the findings are given in the Results Report.

Completed questionnaires have been numbered to aid analysis, and these numbers are given here. Questionnaires remain anonymous.

This report has been independently prepared for the Titley Group NDP Steering Group by Dr. D.J. Nicholson MRTPI with data entry by Steering Group member David Morris.

September 2017

Q1: Do you have any comments on this vision for the future of our parishes? This is your chance to tell us.

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
4	With poor broadband the small businesses struggle to prosper. The green areas for wildlife etc do need people in place to maintain them.
5	Broadband needs to be improved, and roads maintained
6	You need a community willing to work. Will it still feel like a countryside. Please don't lose this stunning factor
9	I heartily agree with all of the above
13	I would like the village to keep its character but realise some development might be necessary-but it must be in keeping with the village.
22	Sound thinking- I agree
23	I agree
24	HOUSING FOR LOCAL YOUNG PEOPLE WHICH IS AFFORDABLE, THOSE WHO HAVE CONNECTIONS AND WORK IN THE LOCALITY
27	On the third bullet point, suggest that what is meant by "sustainable" is thought out and fleshed out in a bit more depth by the steering group. You could remove the word "sustainable" without changing the intent of point 3 one jot. I would do that and add a fourth bullet point regarding "sustainability" within the plan.
28	With Property Prices literally unsustainable for the young people, planning for adjoining housing or putting a mobile home/lodge in one's garden should be available. Also for elderly parents to live in to avoid Nursing Home fees.
30	I believe that the sense of community has slowly faded over time and should be rekindled. I believe small businesses to be very important and while I am aware of the farmers troubles I do not believe them to be the top priority, however we should not ignore them. Wildlife and scenery are a staple of community life and we should do all in our power to conserve it. Despite my previous traditionalistic views there is certainly many modern implementations that would benefit us all.
31	<p>What the above should be is really several "Development plans" and not one. The lumping together, for bureaucratic convenience, of some half-a Dozen or so different communities (or neighbourhoods) with little or nothing in common (apart from very approximate geographical proximity) makes this obvious. A planning approach suitable for Titley would not be suitable for Staunton Village and even less so for Horseway Head. The lack of any public transport for both, and the isolation and restricted road access for the latter makes this clear. In addition, it is difficult to see how the first two points of your vision can be achieved in a area with:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No shops or post Office • No pubs or cafes • One exclusive restaurant • No health care provision • Limited or no public transport • Poor mobile 'phone coverage • Broadband speeds that fail to reach the statutory minimum levels

Titley Group NDP · Questionnaire comment listings

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
	Without significant investment in the infrastructure of the area from central government, it makes little sense to agree to County Council planning requirements for <u>any</u> new housing.
32	All three above are essential for the future.
33	A GOOD IDEA-BUT I DO NOT WISH-AT MY AGE-TO KNOW WHAT THE FUTURE HOLDS!
36	Prioritise local housing. Limit larger scale developments-seek to stop the continuous '5' at a time housing developments out of scale with local need-which subsequently bought as second homes. Encourage first home buyers
37	Whilst all age groups need to be represented in the NDP I would have thought that there needs to be a particular focus on encouraging young people with local employment to be able to live in the area.
38	Different types of houses and a variety of ages of people. More events e.g. hog roast, competitions
40	<u>Affordable</u> housing to attract young families. Potholes
41	The vision is perfect; the implementation will be the tricky bit. How we achieve something for the benefit of all without increasing the wealth of just a few, yet again
45	Sounds OK
46	I agree with the vision which is well described
56	A small amount of affordable housing in suitable locations would be beneficial to bring younger people into the community
58	I feel safety should be addressed-home and road safety. Protection from criminal activities and unsocial behaviour. Otherwise vision excellent.
59	How will health and safety be addressed?
65	Question whether housing for all ages would work in Titley which for all sorts of other reasons is an expensive place to live
66	I agree with the vision for the future of our parishes. I would like to emphasise the connection of "successful farming enterprises" with "landscape, wildlife and historic heritage are protected and enhanced"-so economically successful farming enterprise (such as chicken farm) may not necessarily be a welcome business to the local community as seen in neighbouring Lyonshall parish.
67	Agree fully with vision above and specifically in regard to housing, that provision should always be made for younger people and younger families, as the current ageing, wealthier demographic does not make for a balanced community.
72	This seems like a very sensible plan for the future, especially in the provision of housing and business opportunities for a range of people.
74	I agree with this vision.
78	Appropriate infrastructure-i.e. shops/clinics/postal services etc <u>MUST</u> be in place <u>BEFORE</u> any more RESIDENTIAL BUILDINGS ARE BUILT.
81	I cannot but agree!
82	Should also be outward-looking, ready to welcome and accommodate those of other backgrounds/nationalities, especially those in need.
83	I agree with all of the above
84	Transport, especially public transport links should be included.

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
88	Successful farming enterprises and small businesses to be protective of, and sensitive to the environment and to those who enjoy it, eg Parishioners, walkers etc. The vision above that you have detailed is good.
91	No
95	I agree with point 3 very strongly, point 2 but point 1 I'm not sure about. Sorry. Yes to all of it.
96	Dormitory areas with access to other areas and communities that are more dynamic are just as important for the quality of life of its residents as increasing the working capacity of the area. Somewhere to avoid the stresses and hustle and bustle that the world gives us everyday. I believe a community can thrive on promoting the calm and quiet that other places cannot offer. We in the Titley environs already have the countryside and footpaths, beauty and calm, that other places in Herefordshire wish that they had to offer the public
100	Farming enterprises I don't support- polytunnels and chicken units Chicken hell holes Polytunnel plants are heavily sprayed And biomass and digesters
101	1) The only focus needed is affordable housing truly affordable. There is plenty of supply for larger houses 2) No, employment is not needed here and should not be an equal priority, No expansion of modern farming as long term sustainable so encouraging this will damage environment and ecosystems for all 3) Protection and enhancement gives encouragement to NIMBYs and landscapers
102	I agree and support all of the above suggestions. Particularly that of the energy given to the sustainability of our local environment and surrounding landscape. It is one of the best aspects of our village that I know myself many others have strong feelings about.
104	N/A
108	We are currently living in rented accommodation just outside Titley. I would like there to be more affordable rented properties in the area/village our current property is not really suitable as it's solid fuel heating so very cold in winter
109	I agree with all of the above & the last one: Providing an attractive and peaceful countryside for <u>all</u> to enjoy <u>particularly the wildlife</u>
110	I agree with the above vision but any development must recognise that Titley is a small village, widely dispersed where a quiet life is still possible and this must not be forgotten
111	I agree with the vision outlined above but any new development must be in keeping with the size of Titley and must be in harmony with a quiet village life
116	I believe that only projects that enhance and preserve local villages character should be considered
117	I wholeheartedly support and endorse all the above.
118	For a sustainable environment, we may need to embrace more sustainable transport and energy production, such as wind power and solar power. We could

Titley Group NDP · Questionnaire comment listings

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
	grow more wood for burning to create electricity, thus producing more environmental benefit via oxygen from trees and wildlife corridors and habitat.
122	Our services are strained. Kington Doctors (much uncertainty). No Dentists, Few buses, Schools full. No banks. New Housing in this area brings more retirees and more strain on our community-not needed. We are too far from main town to have to bear this.
123	1/ Dream On! 2/ Dream On-More! 3/Yes Titley is a hamlet/small village. (How about a Tesco Xpress)
126	As above
128	The above states on what I feel for the future.
129	It is important houses are built and kept available for local workers. That could be rental or sold with a covenant not to rent out as a holiday let. Also improve internet connection, vital for attracting homeworkers, new businesses and maximising opportunities available to local kids.
131	No
137	That stated vision is admirable. I do think however that the countryside and rural regions should be shared, through sensitive, but workable development of a rural "tourist" market. I'm sure this exists already but if looking to plan for the future this needs to be given a place in development profiles.
142	Our parishes are wonderful to live in as they are. Some development is always a good thing, depending on the scale.
143	I would like it to be kept as it is as far as possible.
144	The vision above is good, but I am concerned that "successful farming enterprises" does not include large industrial units, that maybe employ a token amount of people but in the end causes more harm than good regarding environment factors
145	There is insufficient consideration of how the proliferation of so called "successful farming enterprises" undermine the vision to protect the environment. Industrial large scale broiler units + excessive use of nitrates do <u>not</u> support a "sustainable environment"
148	As a resident of Roddhurst I would like to say that I didn't receive any information regarding the Open Day. Please can I ask my neighbours be kept informed regarding any future meetings.
149	I live in Roddhurst and received no notification of the Open day meeting.
150	I would like to see more young families move into the area. Live/work dwellings and any other facilities that would draw them in.
152	This sounds great. Agree with need for more local employment but this probably isn't covered by the scope of this work?
153	Sounds perfect
156	I should wish for all of the above
158	I think safety and a healthy environment should be expressly included in the vision statement
159	I support all three parts of the vision above.
161	Local people working and living in area-Have been Refused Planning Who Need a

Titley Group NDP · Questionnaire comment listings

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
	Home
163	Affordable housing for young families or first time buyers Connections to the villages
164	Farming and business should only be supported if it can be shown to be environmentally sustainable and in compliance with the third objectives (above)
165	Unfortunately it is difficult to live in this area without your own transport. This is the most important factor to be considered when thinking about future developments. Families and the elderly would be better located nearer to facilities to minimize journeys by car
166	More first homes (affordable) for young people with prospect of employment
167	Generally speaking I would prefer additional housing sited in existing villages. I would like to see more affordable housing with employment facilities to cater for young people.
171	A broad and positive vision-A good start
174	Sounds good to me!
175	* supported by good infrastructure (roads and broadband)
176	Point 3 conflicts with the 2 earlier points. The village needs to be sustainable but can't be for limited wildlife, landscape, and heritage. Agricultural employment is unlikely to increase with intensification, the majority of farm workers do not live in the village, so I think it's a direct link for affordable housing for local working people.
179	Good!
180	The current trends in agriculture seem increasingly at odds with protecting the landscape, wildlife and historic heritage.
181	Totally agree with the above
182	It should be for local people. Local people living in area and working for many years have been refused planning in the <u>past</u> .
186	This is broadly correct but there is nothing in the wording about sensitive development to address environmental issues such as rainfall run-off to control flooding in neighbouring parishes etc.
189	No-OK
191	I would support this vision as it would appear to cover everything needed for an inclusive and thriving community.
195	I would like to see affordable housing for young people just starting out so they have a chance of getting on the housing ladder.
199	I would list this in a different order. 3 in first place, 2 in second place and 1 last!
202	I have no problems for an expansion of the villages. I would welcome to see all kinds of homes for a variety of people from all walks of life including refugees. I feel the communities in the villages should be able to welcome and embrace change not remain in the 19 th century.
203	Road safety and the right speed limits for the right vehicles (especially HGVs and Tractors etc.)
204	Safe Roads....or safe driving upon them! Value of roadside verges as a wild flower/wildlife haven I agree with the vision. Encouraging cycling +? Re-opening sections of old

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
	railways to increase sustainable tourism and biking to work/school
205	Farming is very important this is what Herefordshire is known for. It's a way of life that needs to be kept
207	I agree with all 3 points but if they are prioritised I feel the 3 rd one (sustainability) should be top. I also think that there should be some comment about reducing conflict and compromising between different interest groups as I feel that the area is being dominated by a few very large agribusiness enterprises who only really have their own interests at heart.
213	I agree with the above
214	I agree with this vision
217	We need to keep our young here and offer them employment opportunities, where they can earn a decent wage and afford to make a life here. Not just zero hours contracts, minimum wage and jobs limited by available transport.
220	N/A

Q4: Are there any specific locations in or adjacent to the villages of Titley or Staunton-on-Arrow that you think are suitable for new homes? If so, where, and why?

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
1	Opposite village hall. Put in new access opposite village hall car park to avoid using road junction. They wouldn't be too much of an eyesore there the way the land lies behind and several sizeable trees established to help break up new builds.
2	Don't know.
3	Not equipped to answer.
4	Keep community together by not spreading out too much
9	I do not know
10	No opinion
12	No opinion
14	No
19	Behind Staunton Village hall, small unused field
20	Infill land between Stone House and Fields Place in Staunton On Arrow
28	Keep housing development in Titley where developments have already been started. Staunton on Arrow planning only for adjacent or fill-in to keep natures landscape undisturbed.
29	KEEP THE DEVELOPMENT OF HOUSES TO TITLEY WHERE THEY ARE ALREADY BUILDING
30	Broadford, the area is very spacious and could capacitate a number of suitable guests in a pretty average area
31	There is limited scope for infill in Staunton village between Stone House and Field's Place-given the sale of farmland. Similarly there is limited scope for in-fill housing in Staunton Green and Stansbatch, which do have very limited Public Transport links.
32	Near main roads with access to public transport
33	NOT KNOWN
34	NO
36	Land opposite Old Court, Staunton-on Arrow. Small plots Horseway Head. Corner field opposite council houses. Titley is oversubscribed
37	No particular opinion, but I would favour new development in or around existing villages, hamlets rather than isolated pockets
38	Nash. Between Nash and Knill. Staunton Green
39	Opposite Old Court Staunton -on -Arrow
41	I would like to think that this neighbourhood plan will empower many people to submit applications not just the "landed few" and each application will be considered on its merits.
42	Staunton village Centre Single dwellings infill in the "Staunton hamlets"
47	no
49	Yes, Titley. If an application was submitted from Yew Tree Cottage (Les Preece) I was support this.
50	Land opposite village hall (Yew Tree Cottage) would be an ideal site for 4 to 6 affordable homes.

Titley Group NDP · Questionnaire comment listings

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
55	Close to village hall, pub and Stagg meadow to give Titley a centre
57	Field opposite to Stagg Inn on the other side of B4355 with access to the back lane to Titley Court barns
58	Not familiar enough with parish to comment
65	No opinion
66	Green lane opposite Stagg beyond Balance farm, Titley. Eywood Lane Titley. Smaller 2/3 bedroom homes-extra traffic would filter onto main road through village, not directly.
67	-opposite The Stagg, between the two entrances to Titley Court -opposite the Village hall running to opposite School Lane turn (a run of terraced houses here could be effective) -in-fill next to the Priory, between there and Merv's -Burnt House Barn, but only for existing resident who understands sensitivity of site
69	TITLEY Between the Old School House and Eywood Lodge, Stagg corner, opposite Titley lay-by, School lane and Green lane, between Forge lane and Mowley lane. All these locations are in easy access to Water mains and mains Sewage system. Old redundant farm buildings should be allowed for development if not suitable for modern day farming.
72	Some individual houses along the road from Titley to Kington. Smaller house along Green lane from the Stagg to School lane turning (i.e. opposite village hall).
73	Yes-the area to the left of the drive to "Old Priory, Titley" which is an untidy weed patch which then seeds itself everywhere!
74	Waste ground next to Old Priory driveway.
75	1. Forge lane 2. easy access to highway 3. Near to sewage works
76	1. Opposite Stagg Inn in Titley 2. " Vicarage "
77	The area up Eywood Lane adjacent to the Lodge would appear to me to be the perfect place to build new homes, both to meet Government requirements and not spoil any of the existing beauty to the middle part of the village. This area as I see it is perfect for 3-4 bed homes and of course affordable homes.
78	NO
80	Old Nash Quarry-?
82	Flintsham-near to recent building
83	Land opposite Bircher Court etc across the main road. It could then infill the village boundaries the one house opposite Bircher farm
84	Adjoining Yewtree Cottage in Titley (southside) marked 1 on map and on Eywood Lane in Titley between Cherry Trees and half barrel Cottage. Marked 2 on map
85	Between Cherry trees and Half Barrel. Land below the Glatt
88	New builds should be individual and evenly spread throughout the village, not clustered in group sites
100	Lower Mowley, Horseway Head, Upper Mowley Stansbatch

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
101	Everyone is NIMBYish in this area
102	Couldn't say
104	Unsure
115	Any infill plots between current housing, with planning regulations ensuring building is in keeping with current houses
117	1) Stagg meadow and 2) land to the left of the Titley church/graveyard
118	Stagg Meadow Parcel of land opposite phone box/layby near church
125	TITLEY-Land adjacent to old Post Office Land at Priory Springs Land to rear of Church Cottages
126	No new homes
128	None
130	N/A
137	Not that I am aware of
144	Don't know
145	No
146	No
147	No
150	Inside village boundaries
152	No informed opinion
153	It's important to mix the new into the old with some subtlety-not a housing estate, not all the same next to each other. Those blocks of new houses ruin villages (cf Canon Pyon)
154	Don't know
156	As I don't live in either village I don't feel I have a right (or detailed knowledge) to comment
159	Don't know area well enough to comment
162	Stagg meadow, central to village
163	Stagg Meadow
164	Don't know
166	With a preference for development of an existing village
167	No specific sites but in favour of extending the villages rather than using rural locations
170	N/A
171	N/K
172	D/K
175	1) Along the road from Staunton on Arrow to Stockley Cross 2) Along the road from Titley to Eywood.
176	There are plenty
178	No
180	Stag Meadow Titley Ideal site in the centre of village
191	I do not have suitable knowledge of what locations would be available in the two villages mentioned to comment with conviction

Titley Group NDP · Questionnaire comment listings

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
196	We live in a Beautiful Area it is very difficult to suggest where more houses might be built.
199	No comment
203	>Nash >Knill >Flintsham area
204	Nash? Knill. Near Titley Village hall? ? Between Stockley Cross and Milton Cross Between Webley Ash and RoddHurst Between kennels and Flintsham Larger groups of houses? 5-6 Instead of biomass in middle of Staunton Orchards opposite Old Court North or south side of Staunton green but not many + not v.high cost only here! See on map
211	Burnt House Barn-repair and reuse of disused building for home or other use. We attempted to do this in sympathetic and well designed approach but Herefordshire Council would not support.
213	Don't know
214	Don't know
221	1) I between Ashbrook Cottage and the Church at the roadside but not further up into Priory Wood 2) Field opposite the Village hall next to the Balance for a couple of dwellings (not the Stagg meadow!)

Q5: Are there any specific locations where houses should not be built? If so, where, and why?

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
1	Stagg Meadow – Fete Day is only time village comes together and should be able to continue there.
2	Don't know.
3	Not equipped to answer.
4	Ensure mains waste disposal. Not on top of each other-leave spaces to keep village feel.
5	They should not be built where they cannot connect to mains sewage
6	Leaving adequate space between gardens to keep the country feel
7	STAUNTON-ON-ARROW INFRASTRUCTURE NOT AVAILABLE TO SUPPORT NEW/INCREASED HOUSING
9	I do not know
10	No opinion
11	ON FARMLAND IN STAUNTON-ON-ARROW. IN FUTURE IF FARMLAND USED NO GROUND AVAILABLE FOR CROPS.
14	Developments that are such a scale that they overwhelm the neighbouring village should be rejected.
22	Only in and very near to the villages of Titley and Staunton on Arrow
23	I do not like the chance of building on rural land away from the villages of Titley and Staunton-on-Arrow
25	Where: road infrastructure is inappropriate. Insufficient space for effective foul drainage (assuming not on mains drainage) .isolated locations. Prominent locations visible from a distance.
28	Wapley Hill or any other Natural beauty and Wildlife sanctuaries.
29	NO BUILDING IN STAUNTON ON ARROW ONLY INFILL FOR WANT & NEED
30	I have attended Kington School for six years and sadly watched as a drug manifestation ruined it, houses nearer Kington should be avoided as the risk of drugs spread is high.
31	Horseway Head does not have adequate road access to support additional housing
32	Near specific growing areas e.g. Fruits
33	NEAR THE MOUND- OR WHERE SITES REQUIRE THE REMOVAL OF MATURE TREES
34	Near Mound or where trees have to be removed
36	Do not build multiple developments outside existing boundaries for Staunton on Arrow unless for self-build or live/work units
37	In general, I'd say avoid sites along narrow single track roads that are a long way from main roads (i.e. Horseway Head
38	Forests and woodlands
42	Stagg Meadow. Isolated houses in the general countryside
47	No
49	Stagg Meadow. This should never be built on as it is the ideal location for any celebration requiring outside space being close to the hall.
50	Stagg meadow, the centre of the village, ideal for village gatherings

Titley Group NDP · Questionnaire comment listings

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
52	Titley fete field, adjacent village hall
55	Around Eywood is very magical and deserves to be left.
56	Stagg meadow, Titley. In or adjacent to the old Eywood Park apart from the Balance farmyard area.
57	1 Stagg meadow 2. Anywhere in or near to the old Eywood park (apart from Balance Farm yard).
58	Not familiar enough with parish to comment
60	Not in Stagg Meadow-this should be preserved at all costs for the use of the village functions as well as for farming. A huge asset
64	Where access to the B4355 would be on a bend
65	Where access would be dangerous. On green Belt land
66	Not on Stagg Meadow-central green space in heart of Titley. Location for annual Titley fete-important local community event.
67	-Stagg Meadow -adjacent to Eywood parkland -anywhere not mentioned above that would produce an estate-like development rather than in-fill bordering roads
72	In general, being mindful of preserving the natural beauty of the area is a good idea!!-Stagg Meadow, Titley Pool, Eywood Lane.
73	No opinion
74	On farmland behind houses either side of main road in Titley
75	1.Stagg Meadow 2.Poor access to highway
76	Stagg Meadow-venue for Village Fete etc.
78	<u>TITLEY</u>
80	* none specifically. I would like to see certain areas designated in some way as unsuitable for development by virtue of, e.g. AONBs. The country around Horseway Head, Mowley Wood, south to the GPA boundary is particularly special I feel.
82	Stagg Meadow-keep green area in centre of Titley
83	Stagg Meadow-it is such a good village amenity (with D Forbes permission). The fields opposite the Village hall car park because the roads are too narrow+ and it would cause more water/sewage problems in the area
84	Stagg Meadow in Titley-this area should be considered for a community village green. Marked 3 on map
85	Field by Village Hall (Fete field)
88	No more imbalanced developments in Titley No more building that does not specifically meet local needs-nothing that detracts from villager enjoyment of our beautiful village and countryside.
95	Eywood Estate-grade 2 listed Stagg meadow
96	The proposed development at Eywood Lane, Balance barns, across to green lane to which I have previously objected. My reasons are on record.
97	Not in our garden!
100	Not our central community-used land at Stagg Meadow and definitely not any

Titley Group NDP · Questionnaire comment listings

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
	more around Eywood Lane as becoming an eyesore right at the edge of Nature Reserve and grade II Parkland and beautiful views.
101	Listed Parkland Woodland
102	Houses should be built individually and not in clusters. Taking into account the surrounding people + landscape. Built for the benefit of the village not through greed for personal/corporate financial gain!
104	No opinion
108	Eywood Lane. The lane is a busy lane with traffic and I don't feel it can take more
110	Stagg meadow. It has become the "village green" and is a wonderful space at the heart of the village. Eywood Parkland-historic landscape
111	Stagg Meadow. Important to village Eywood Parkland, historic landscape
115	Edge of current housing in fields where no housing footprint currently exists-to maintain village feel in line with vision#3 point-existing footprints only should be built upon if increasing boundaries of villages.
116	On the edge/border of any registered gardens/parkland etc. If we are not careful we will lose our villages to developers who have no interest in preserving the way of life in our villages
117	On farmland. We need our farms.
118	Farmland or farm yards. We surely need to produce as much food for human use as is possible now that we are leaving the EU, whilst taking care of the environment and wildlife of the area as well.
119	The Stagg Meadow, Titley The Eywood historic park and garden These are historic and beautiful locations
120	1) Stagg Meadow Titley historic/beautiful location 2) Eywood historic park and garden (so as to protect historic landscape)
121	Should not be built on land adjacent to Balance barns. View restrictions, large houses not needed in the village. Stagg Meadow-integral part of the village and used for fete etc.
122	Anywhere near our pools and watercourse. They are a great asset to the community.
125	Stagg Meadow Anywhere which affects setting of Eywood Park or other historic/heritage sites Land between Balance barns/Farm and Stagg (Agricultural Covenant)
126	Nowhere near me
128	There is none
130	N/A
138	Stagg Meadow Titley
137	On existing farmland adjacent to villages.
144	Don't know
145	Nash Quarry-too much wildlife.
146	No

Titley Group NDP · Questionnaire comment listings

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
147	No
148	I think it would be sad to have any building on Stagg Meadow in Titley-site of annual fete.
150	Open countryside areas.
152	The large field in the middle of Titley used for events
154	Don't know
156	As above
159	As Q4, sorry, but not in obvious flood areas or areas of poor access, roadwise etc.
163	Lower Mowley-too close to the dairy couldn't put up with outside people moaning about the noise/smell
164	Agricultural land should not be compromised
166	See above
167	No specific locations but see answer to Q4
170	N/A
171	N/K
172	In areas of SSSI. Adjacent to named walkways which are used by tourists.
173	Sites where good access is provided to public transport and broadband.
174	Where current residents situation would be compromised specifically beautiful natural areas destroyed.
175	Anywhere that interferes with existing views from current housing. Vistas should be preserved
176	Stagg meadow
177	Horseway Head. It would be a shame to spoil such a beautiful area with housing developments
179	I think any new homes should be built within the boundaries of the existing village and not extend out into the countryside
180	Lower Mowley-we moved here for privacy!
191	In any area that might be prone to flooding
199	Not in Stansbatch or the environs of Wapley Hill
200	On open field sites On land with risk of flooding.
203	Not on Wapley road
204	If it would involve cutting down established trees Very narrow lanes could not support much increase in traffic Near dismantled railway (if it became cycle route)
209	Staunton village centre-too close to digester: because of noise, smell and risk of explosion.
213	Stagg meadow Isolated rural sites away from villages
214	Stagg meadow!
216	Flood meadows – eg near the Arrow These need protecting to prevent worse flooding downstream
218	Support the notion of not building/developing isolated dwellings in the countryside
220	Any development must be sympathetic to the existing houses as well as the

Titley Group NDP · Questionnaire comment listings

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
	natural environment
221	<p>Stagg Meadow as it is the centre of the village where the community comes together and it is a beautiful green space that makes it still feel like a village. We would not want to see anything built on this site</p> <p>Opposite the Stagg pub on the bend as it would make the village feel very built up as drive in from Kington</p>

Q8: Do you have any other comments on housing, including housing need? This is your chance to tell us.

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
1	Need to have houses for younger generation and not let Titley become a "retirement village".
2	Housing needs to be within reach of employment. The village does not need more retired people.
7	NO
8	Without improvement to the infrastructure- i.e. transport services, shop, school, mending of roads and further building in Staunton-on-Arrow is not practical.
12	As there are no facilities + transport for old people I do not think OAP houses are appropriate in S.O.A
13	People want to retire to the area because it is a lovely village-very few jobs-+ not enough pay to afford houses in our village
16	Affordable rental properties are far more important to me than affordable housing to buy.
21	If there is no employment locally for young people, there is no need to provide housing for them-they will move to find work.
22	I see no reason why our local authority could not build these proposed houses itself. Thus increasing its asset base and obtaining an ongoing regular income
23	I think it is up to the local authority if private individuals do not have sufficient financial resources to build their own properties. If this the case the local authority should build them and let them
25	LOCAL PEOPLE NEED HOUSING, NOT THE HIGHLY PRICED PROPERTIES THAT ARE OFTEN FOR SALE IN THIS AREA, THIS IS NOT AFFORDABLE TO THE YOUNG. AFFORDABLE INDIVIDUAL HOUSES ARE NEEDED-NOT SMALL DEVELOPMENTS
26	Housing should only be provided if there are also local employment opportunities, thus avoiding long distance travelling to work.
27	The big problem with new housing is the infrastructure and esp. transportation being suitable and scalable. Less of a problem in Titley than Staunton.
28	Building in your garden, a small dwelling or putting a mobile home in it would ease Pressure of mortgage for the Young People. Also an annex for elderly parents would save council nursing home fees.
30	For the rural housing the "small" number of houses must not compromise the environment too much any housing built should take advantage of the nature around it crediting it to house design.
31	Under present conditions it is extremely unlikely that affordable housing will ever be built. The real housing need is solely for this and not yet more Executive Homes that make money for landowners and developers
36	Local need only for any future development.
37	To make housing more accessible to first time buyers. I think consideration should be given to part rent/part mortgage housing associations.
38	Houses that fit people's needs and the landscape. I would like them to be eco-friendly
42	Developments should be small scale –only 2 or 3 houses as a maximum and

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
	mostly tied to a 'known' local need. Open market housing has to relate to local need either within the parish or the wider local area
47	No
49	There are enough properties in the village which are rented out. I think there need to be affordable homes for young families who wish to stay in the village
50	Titley is fast becoming a retirement village. Housing for young people/families is essential for the future of the village
56	Staunton on Arrow and Titley are small rural villages and new houses should be strictly limited and carefully sited and built to specifications that blend in with the existing dwellings-no lego or nobby homes.
57	Titley and Staunton are small villages-sites for new houses should be limited (eg 3 max for each, and the design and materials used need to blend in with existing housing (ie no "pebbledash" breeze blocks, modern/futuristic designs).
60	The whole issue of building in small villages centres on the infrastructure offered. Doctors, Shops, transport, roads-Internet. The idea of building more housing in small villages without regular transport to negate the need for cars seems crazy. WHERE DO PEOPLE WORK DO THEY <u>NEED</u> TO TRAVEL-have 2 cars etc.
64	To build houses without appropriate infrastructure i.e. Public transport, drainage etc for those on low incomes would be counter-productive. To live in Titley is expensive with no shops or local amenities.
66	I don't feel informed enough at the moment to make any further constructive comments
67	Community Land Trusts look like a scheme worthy of investigation if this initiative leaves a group who would steward it as Trustees
69	More low-cost housing
70	More affordable housing for the younger generation, but also kept in character with the rest of the village
71	We need lo-cost affordable housing for the younger generation to remain in Titley.
74	Consider the character of new buildings very carefully
75	Need to bear in mind local employment to avoid excessive commuting
82	Numbers of houses for sale as part of R.E.H would have to be strictly limited: developers must not be allowed to get away with reducing amount of affordable housing they provide. Housing should be provided in conjunction with new leisure facilities (e.g. sports facilities) thus increasing community cohesion whilst increasing population
83	Every community needs a good 'mix' of people
84	The number of "open market" homes in any rural exception scheme should be fewer than the affordable/local homes provided
88	There is currently no provision for affordable housing for young local people. New housing should be minimal, in keeping with our current housing, meet required local need, and protect and respect our local environment, not exploit it. Provision for young people and young families will bring life to the village.
91	No
100	Large new build homes are only affordable to those from wealthier towns, cities-

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
	often as holiday/second homes, left unoccupied for long periods-these owners do not contribute positively to the local community. I'd be in favour of more self build-small housing ideas or camouflaged construction, turf roofs. Semi underground ideas that do not ruin views.
101	CLT is more viable than any scheme which offers open market development
102	No housing is available for young workers/post grads. This does not support the community in any way
108	It's a lovely area and it would be lovely to have more affordable housing
109	Local people should be put first on any lists not given to outsiders. It is not such a good idea to give priority to newcomers.
110	We need a speed restriction through the village, to include Shawl Corner
116	I do not believe that building block housing is appropriate in this area. There is little work, no schools/shops. Any development should be scattered within existing village boundaries
117	Eco housing and self build
118	We seem to need more housing, but it does not have to be large, detached houses, or new rural large-scale developments far from local services and jobs. Small scattered housing is good or larger developments near larger towns
122	The houses which have recently been built in our local villages-(Presteigne, Canon Pyon) are <u>dreadful</u> . Affordable? I think not-Why doesn't the "sweetener" which the developers pay to the community get spent on buildings which have some character?
123	Not needed
125	Several houses in the parish have been/are being used as "holiday" or "second" homes. Whilst I appreciate need for small businesses I feel there has to be some restriction on use of any new builds, with "residential use" being built into covenants on sale
126	We like it as it is.
129	Affordable housing for local people Social housing for local people
130	No
133	Affordable housing for the working class It always seems to be purpose built for UB or self build on rural farming community land
137	The "affordable" market needs to address first time buyers, rent/buy projects that allow younger purchasers access, and opportunity, to remain in the area.
139	No housing on the Stagg Meadow.
144	Rural exception sounds good, but cannot always be enforced in my experience Affordable housing yes good, but selling then reduces the market, Affordable <u>rental</u> I prefer.
148	Housing for local families-keeping young people and their children-is vital to keep our community alive. We need to provide affordable accommodation.
150	Large building companies have wrecked the market so local builders would be preferable
152	The extra housing should be distributed as single units where there is opportunity for infill rather than in blocks of homes built on a template, in order

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
	to blend old with new.
153	As before, I would like houses to mix in rather than having blocks of them – and if we need to bribe developers to build affordable houses then they are the wrong developers
154	No
157	Any house building must be subject to availability of services such as schools, places of worship, pubs and village halls etc; suitable vehicle access must be made available and planning consent applied as in all other cases
158	The affordable housing should remain ‘affordable’ and not be sold on the open market by the first buyer.
163	If houses were built (as in question 7) these could be bought by well off people from London for eg and used as holiday homes which isn’t on in my opinion
164	No
165	With little employment in this area and poor transport links my concern is that housing will only be bought by affluent retired people.
166	See previous answers
167	I am in favour of extending villages rather than new sites in rural locations. I am in favour of affordable homes for young people working in the local economy.
172	Preferential option for local people would be positive for local communities and industries.
173	Housing should require a high environmental standard in excess of planning and building regs minimum
175	No comment.
176	If the land is priced sensibly it is possible to build affordable homes, without being subsidised, maybe self build is an option, even better than standard affordable housing
178	Effort should be made to build house in an environmentally friendly i.e. techniques, materials
180	Affordable house for under 25’s. These people are the future of any village
191	Affordable housing for young families should be a priority together with suitable housing for Herefordshire’s aging population
195	I feel young people are being pushed out of the area as 1 there are no homes--- 2- the houses are too expensive.
197	Affordable housing needed for local families & young people so they can stay in the area
203	Affordable houses in small groups which blend with the surroundings (don’t look out of place according to heritage and environment). Example: Alms houses (like apartments)
204	We need more affordable homes in small villages but not large developments of them. Policy needs to be changed at national level to reflect the specific needs of rural communities
210	Support the planning and conversion of rural buildings e.g Burnt Barn, Titley circular walk. As such buildings will eventually become derelict otherwise.
213	As mentioned earlier I think it is important for the rural environment to be

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
	preserved-i.e. housing being close to existing villages would be preferable
214	I feel that rural exception sites (may) give people with land carte blanche to make a quick profit at the expense of the environment!
215	Did not support sheltered/supported housing option since there are few facilities here anyway, so sadly people do need some independence to live here, or at least to begin living here
216	Current housing stock has become unaffordable for normal working-age people- due in part to people retiring here from wealthier areas. Priority should be given to those wishing to live and work, and raise families in Herefordshire. Environmental build should remain <u>affordable</u>
217	In the area outlined on the map, I don't believe there is specific need for housing as such, but I am open to be proved wrong and if that be the case to support rural exception scheme
218	Affordable housing should have access to public transport to avoid isolation, so could be towards Titley + Pembridge. Developments should be both environmentally and aesthetically pleasing
220	See comments on Q5

Q10: Do you have any other comments on traffic, transport and access? This is your chance to tell us.

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
1	Speed limit should stay at 40 mph. Need to focus on stopping the idiots who regularly drive faster than this. Particularly the time slot when factories seem to be closing. Start 40 mph zone above trench.
2	Large tractors need speed restriction. HGVs too heavy for roads constructed for horse drawn traffic.
3	Staunton has become very dangerous with large farm vehicles going faster through the village – on its way to bio-mass. These farm vehicles are the width of the road, dangerous for children and horses and walkers. The transport has wrecked the peace of the village and the roads!
4	Fix the pot holes or pay for car repair.
5	To improve road safety in the winter fix the pot holes!
6	Fix the potholes!
7	STAUNTON-ON-ARROW HAS NO PUBLIC TRANSPORT. CONTINUAL FARM TRAFFIC THROUGH THE VILLAGE DAMAGES ROADS AND VERGES. ACCESS TO SOME AREAS CAN BE DIFFICULT-SUCH AS HORSEWAY HEAD.
8	There is far too much heavy transport through Staunton-on-Arrow, moving with considerable speed. It is only a matter of time before there is a serious accident. This excess of traffic is due to the Digester unit at the end of the village for which the council gave permission-it should be diverted = pot holes repaired. There is <u>no</u> public transport
9	Hedge trimming especially at the Cross Roads at Rhyse Lane and Staunton-on-Arrow (Bulmers corner)
12	Too many large farm vehicles on roads not suitable-road fabric disappearing
13	Farm vehicles are now too big for our lanes-taking sides of road away. Fabric of road disappearing
14	By-pass for Pembridge would do wonders for the village and bring greater benefit to the Titley Neighbourhood Area
20	All of the above are important in some areas and irrelevant in others
21	The narrow roads locally should have a maximum speed of 40, preferably 30.
23	No
25	ROADS NEED TO BE MADE FIT FOR USE NOT FULL OF POTHOLE. BETTER SIGNAGE TO AID ROAD SAFETY
26	Integrated public transport to fit demand. Buses based on a dial-a –ride system. By “integrated” I mean community transport systems should not deprive scheduled bus services of passengers who could use them.
27	See Q8 response
28	Speeding traffic approaching <u>Stockley Cross roads</u> (especially from Titley) Need to be slowed down! The crossroads sign alone seems to make NO DIFFERENCE Upgraded maintenance needs to be addressed because of the heavy agricultural vehicles wearing down the roads so quickly after repair!
30	We should try to cut down on CO2 emissions in the area and the idea of walking and cycling only benefits people’s health

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
32	Speed limit very important and road repairs
36	Local Council should take more responsibility instead of trying to pass responsibility to parish councils.
37	Traffic calming needs to be installed in existing areas as well as potential new build sites, particularly on thoroughfare roads. Think the issue of reckless driving is an ever increasing and preventable issue
38	The speed of tractors on our road (through Stansbatch and Staunton Green) is very stupid and dangerous
39	Ban big HGVs from using smaller roads
40	Much lower speed limit through Staunton
42	Reduction in speed limit countryside on unclassified and C roads to 40mph
43	The use of sat navs appears to have increased commercial traffic on totally unsuitable local roads. Signage needs to be more prohibitive-foreign languages included.
47	If built near schools what will be put in place for safety.
48	Tractors could do with slowing down, such large vehicles are intimidating on these lanes.
56	The local roads are poorly maintained and access for a proposed large number of new homes would be compromised by the increased number of cars and should be very carefully considered
57	Building a minimum of 23 new homes (with potentially 46 extra cars) over the plan period, highlights the need for an urgent review of road layouts, speed restrictions, signage and road repairs in the area.
58	Roads not maintained to safe enough standard. Patching for holes does not seem to work. Council not cost effect in way it manages road repairs-piecemeal
59	Roads not maintained properly
60	Small lanes? More building? Is this viable-where do people travel to-
64	Reduce speed limit to 30mph in Titley & commence this reduction south of The Shawl and continue further north than it is now.
65	Road speed through Titley needs to be reduced by 30mph limit.
66	Introduce more speed limit signage/gateway/road markings further out from Titley village centre-e.g. out at kennels to slow down to 40 mph before reaching the residential heart of the village.
74	Reduce speed limit to 30 then we might see people going at 40 & not 50mph
75	Current volume of heavy commercial traffic unacceptable. Also contractor's traffic on narrow roads causing damage to roads and verges
76	30mph was requested 18 years ago. How much longer do we have to wait? Nuff said about potholes!
80	Speed limit <u>reduction</u> !
81	Titley is currently used as an overtaking point, possible use of planning gain to fund traffic-calming through Titley-?
82	Bus service to local towns need improvement-no easy way to get to Leominster, for example, by bus. Especially important to those with no car of their own-disproportionately affects elderly and the young. Should connect with train services. Cycling is currently dangerous on B4362. Consider cycleways separate

Titley Group NDP · Questionnaire comment listings

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
	from roads e.g along disused railway from Presteigne to Stansbatch, from Titley to Kington via Eywood /Rushock. Consider radical development of light rail/heritage rail link to Presteigne/Leominster=tourist attraction as local use
83	Titley has a high water table which has already caused problems in the past. Any development would add to these problems if we are not careful. Large vehicles tractors/farm machinery/lorries are clearly damaging the lanes
84	Traffic calming would make it safer for everybody
86	Signs to warn people of wildlife on roads would be good
88	Would support 30mph limit in the village. Support completion of Rhiwlas farm entrance requirements subject to that development planning. Replacing of Eywood Lane sign to end of lane by junction onto B4355. More signage awareness of traffic on B4355 of cars coming out of Eywood Lane
91	The Titley road to Kington is a patchwork of holes. In places top surface completely worn away in certain conditions hazardous and a waste of taxpayers money to patch the holes every year. Do the job right and you only have to do it once.
95	Speed should be below 40mph Extend the speed zone
97	Safe footpaths on B4355 at both ends of village
98	The roads in and around Titley and surrounding area are in need of repair. The constant patch filling is only a short term solution and this needs addressing, as it will only get worse in the future
100	30 mile an hour restriction needed through Titley. Road surface out of villages, Presteigne direction is car damaging bad.
101	Tarmacadimized roads are in a terrible state between Titley/Presteigne unusable for transport on bicycle/foot
102	30MPG through the village!!! How it isn't already I will never know... The junction off Eywood lane to the main road is exceptionally dangerous-needs attention
109	We certainly don't need speed bumps, I believe they would decrease safety, but flashing lights with speed are a good reminder & increase driver awareness.
111	Speed reduction through Titley needed <u>now</u> Speed restriction should include Shawl corner
115	Excessive signage and coloured tarmac is unsightly and can greatly diminish the visual appeal of villages
116	I do not believe that significant changes to roads should be made for the purpose of access-it would completely change the village and is a sign of overdevelopment
118	We all know how poor our road surfaces are, and how sparse and expensive public transport is. Footpaths are also not as accessible as they were, due to cut backs. Investment is needed to create a good community network.
119	Eywood lane junction – increased traffic and poor road surface is a problem +accident threat.
120	Conditions of roads in the local area are not good, especially Eywood Lane near the junction with the main road through Titley

Titley Group NDP · Questionnaire comment listings

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
121	Speed limit through the village of Titley is of great concern and should be lowered.
122	Are we the forgotten County? Potholes in Titley, a river which runs down the main road from Bircher Farm (and has done for 10 years at least during heavy rain) because of blocked drains Nothing ever gets done.
123	Less Tractors!
125	Speed limits in Titley need to be reviewed. 40mph through main road is excessive and is often ignored. Traffic calming on both entrances is needed. School Lane, Green Lane and Eywood Lane are all unrestricted, as they are used by walkers, cyclists and horse riders they should be subject to maximum 30mph.
126	No
129	Improve maintenance of the roads, many potholes rarely filled in.
130	No
133	Heavy load traffic on Knill road making road unstable and patching is not acceptable. It needs to be properly maintained to be robust and compatible
137	Yes. Too few restrictions on lorry-size/weight on inadequate rural routes. Too wide/too heavy too long for narrow 'B' roads, but becoming increasingly common on rural roads.
139	Poor Road Maintenance
143	Re-surface some roads would be good. Although the pot holes do cut the cost of traffic calming measures.
144	Obviously road maintenance is vital, all the above only works if the road surface is safe, for years it has not been maintained to the required standard.
145	The <u>basics</u> need addressing-the <u>appalling</u> amount of <u>pot-holes</u> .
148	The high speed of some vehicles travelling through RoddHurst is a constant worry.
149	Many hedges have overgrown road warning signs.
152	Not a major concern with respect to the increased housing. More of a concern with concentrated intensive industrial agri developments
154	No
157	Generally there is too much signage on roads and too many distracting and varying speed limit restrictions. There should be 20 or 30mph restrictions in residential and school areas and a 50mph limit on all rural roads.
158	Traffic speeds in the area are, in my opinion, too high for the road surfaces and numerous hazards.
159	Rural roads are more dangerous than urban roads. This fact needs to be publicised more and slower driving on our rural and NARROW roads encouraged somehow.
160	My main fear of road travel is from farm traffic, in particular huge tractors with Bailey trailers, travelling too fast to stop safely (the numerous skid marks on many lanes tell the tale). There is insufficient room to pass safely-I find myself cowering in the hedge or someone's driveway having taken evasive action from an oncoming vehicle
162	People to slow down on lanes
163	People need to drive the roads in particular lanes with the respect they deserve

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
	and not think they are on a race track
164	Safety of roads through Stansbatch- <u>no</u> signage or marking to warn of blind narrow corners and junctions.
168	Restrict extra-large lorries using the rural roads
169	The pot holes need to be repaired. Swiftly
172	The absence of signage on the Stansbach Rd is worrying. It's a "rat run" but there is no advisory signs for speed or narrowing
175	Potholes must be repaired properly and not just filled with material that gets washed away within a few months. Many roads would benefit from being resurfaced Hedges on blind bends should be regularly trimmed
176	It's getting to the stage where passing places are needed on lanes, for heavier traffic such as lorries and agricultural. The verges are damaged in the autumn and look poor until the summer. It could also need some education to actually use them.
178	The state of the road surfaces in the area is appalling
179	I think access to the large areas of "estate" land within this area should be improved and encouraged
189	Pot holes -should be repaired not patched
191	Farm machinery has got progressively larger over the past 20 years and is often driven at speed in our narrow and winding country lanes. I would therefore be very unhappy due to the increased danger if such machinery was joined by "Kingspan sized" lorries servicing some badly sited industrial unit or commercial development
193	Better/faster repairs and maint. needed
195	I feel a 30mph restriction would be an improvement for all, especially walkers, cyclist and horse riders.
196	The roads through Titley are in a Dreadful state but nothing seems to be done about it.
203	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Speed limits • Large vehicles such as tractors, lorries aren't under pressure to be driving at dangerous speeds as they do more damage.
204	Maintenance of verges + their preservation means there is somewhere for walkers/runners to go in the event of "an emergency" eg 2 tractors + trailers meeting head on in our lanes. More knowledge +ease +use of how to report dangerous driving/near misses. More traffic calming in small hamlets-lots of deaths of pets Cars being fitted with automatic switch on/off speed reducing alarms to remind of speed limits.
205	If speed limits are controlled then here should be good passage for walkers, bikers and horses. At the moment the speeds are unacceptable to all. We need SAFE ROADS that are MAINTAINED. TRACTORS especially should watch their speed as some of the equipment they tow is lethal
207	Some of the maintenance [referring to footpaths and bridleways] could be done by volunteers. I would be happy to help.

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
210	The building of pavements on some roads/lanes would be very welcome = would make walking safer. Some footpaths are obstructed due to plant growth, weeds and some signs are not always clear. Clearer signs and maintained footpaths would also be welcome
211	I would like to see the route of disused railway line used to form a pedestrian and cycle way between Presteigne, Kington and Pembridge. The links would be simple, low impact access route (gravel track) and would provide safe means of access for old and young. Benefits would be improved alternative means of transport, improved well being and exercise opportunities for those not willing to cycle and walk on main roads [NB: annotated copy of map]
213	No as above
214	I feel that if new housing is built-there has to be transport to support the extra population! – and better roads (sorry have just read Q16)
215	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • especially so if new developments in the area [re traffic calming] • Extensive cycle routes not practicable • with financial restraints has to take lower priority [re footpath maintenance]
216	Size, weight and speed of agricultural traffic is becoming a very real safety issue for other road users
217	I believe most roads in this area are covered by the national speed limit except where otherwise indicated. The roads are narrow and in need of repair, farm vehicles are often too big for the road and travel too fast for the comfort of the rest of us. I don't know how you help this without penalising farming contractors who contribute to the economy
218	The impact on roads of heavy farm machinery concerns me + the speed which many tractors travel. Hedges are often too high and verges also. Potholes are dreadful as is litter on some roads
219	Damage to roads by tractors

Q14: Are there any specific locations you think suitable for new, small scale employment development? If so, where, and why?

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
2	Worth encouraging. Don't know where.
7	NO
19	no
2	Don't know
23	Don't know
28	None known
30	Nash as it is a remote location in which the offices could be disassociated from wildlife, however putting the offices nearer local businesses would help the economy
33	NOT KNOWN
36	Support small enterprise to support leisure and tourism eg campsites
37	No view
42	As long as the developments are small scale and unobtrusive then locations should be judged on an individual basis.
47	No
49	Can't think of any sites specifically but a small scale camp/caravan site with small village shop for the essentials would be useful to everyone.
50	Local farms, already redundant buildings+ services available.
73	No suggestions.
88	Existing buildings only
91	?
95	Vacant yard up at Eywood
100	Dismantled railway near Rodd Hurst Nash
101	Employment development should be focused in Kington/Presteigne where industry and retail exist with good roads, more tractors and lorries along the Titley road is a bad idea
103	No com
118	More bed and breakfast/tea shops for walkers and cyclists anywhere in Titley area near Hereford Trail and Mortimer Way
120	Can't think of any locations within Titley
123	Titley is a village don't spoil it
126	No opinion
130	N/A
137	Ideally, because of the lack of public transport, areas adjacent to areas such as Knighton, Eardisley, Shobdon maybe!
154	No
157	The Rodd and Rodd farm
159	Don't know
164	Don't know
170	No

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
175	Old farm building Anywhere along the B4355 or B4362
195	No
213	On industrial estates
214	On industrial estates

Q15: Do you have any other comments on jobs and the local economy? This is your chance to tell us.

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
2	Need more jobs locally or area will deteriorate.
3	Any jobs/vacancies should go to local people, as many are unemployed, whilst we are taking on non-English people.
4	Encourage small businesses to take on local young people on short term basis.
6	More jobs for young people to earn a bit
7	NO
8	Improve the infrastructure first!
9	Return to traditional crafts country pursuits Willow weavers, blacksmiths, woodworkers etc. Rare breeds
19	Agriculture needs more support as it is one of the biggest employers in rural areas!
21	There is no point encouraging any industry that requires vehicles larger than a car to make regular visits-our roads are not suitable.
22	No
23	No opinion
28	Encourage young people to take up trades as my 60 yr old husband and his relations all did, by training in college and becoming <u>self-employed</u> . Then they can eventually employ assistant trades people. Development of a pub (like the George in Lyonshall) or building into village halls to provide leisure facilities and provide employment opportunities (Also adding shops and post offices to village halls)
30	More efforts to encourage public spending
33	NO
37	I think consideration needs to be given to the fact that most families (particularly young families) have both husband and wife working nowadays, and the opportunity for jobs/housing for these family's needs to look at the needs of both partners
38	Less chicken sheds
42	Encourage home working especially local crafts e.g. artists, potters, woodworkers etc. support live/work premises
46	Good to promote the local economy
47	No
50	As an employer, I would like to see affordable housing for employees to live close to work
60	This is predominantly a farming area-difficult to develop-Encouraging tourism-i.e. b&b will bring financial benefits-shops-in local towns-only encouraging home/business premises will keep these villages alive.
74	We have to be more open minded & look for the future of our childrens lives.
75	Fine-but beware of bringing even more heavy traffic into area. See p9
82	Chicken farms should be rejected if at all possible-very negative impact on surrounding housing, both for residents and owners of properties
83	We need to be open to private enterprise/small businesses –to help our

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
	economy and villages thrive.
84	Walkers do not contribute to the local economy-they do not spend money here. Rural building conversions should ensure a minimum of 2 staff (at least one employee in addition to the owner)
85	If a small enterprise starts up it should be welcomed and helped-and if someone needs a house to live in as part of the business they should be allowed to build one.
88	Council tax too high in comparison to the benefits and likewise local wages, which are often minimum legal requirement.
95	Need more gardeners
97	We should encourage networking of local businesses maybe every 6 months meet at VH as a business network group?
100	No more chicken sheds! Broiler units are <u>everywhere</u> -God help Herefordshire if bird flu strikes. And the smell-(poor bloody birds)
101	Most residents are not wanting a job in Titley, (retired, home workers, farmers)
103	No com.
115	We need to be aware that village occupiers live in villages for peace and tranquillity therefore any planning needs to take account of how it will impact the village. Small scale businesses with no blight on the landscape should be encouraged. Any larger, such as camping sites, will bring disruption, noise and excessive footprint.
116	Titley is a village with No light Pollution e.g, street lamps etc. it is important in my opinion that this remains the case. I do not believe that camp sites will enhance the village/landscape increasing the risk of noise and general disturbance.
118	More small scale farms, less machinery means more jobs and less damage to fields and roads caused by enormous tractors travelling long distances from the "Mother Farm" More small scale enterprises i.e green woodworking in new woodland/coppicing etc (My ideal world!) Keep it local
122	New houses do not bring new jobs. They bring more transport to our roads and more retired people bringing more strain on our strained infrastructure.
123	Ditto
125	As this is a rural community large scale manufacturing etc are inappropriate.
126	No
129	The internet connection in the area is appalling. The ability to attract homeworkers will benefit the local economy and schools.
130	N/A
137	Certainly a dearth of opportunity for school leavers locally. The "care" industry needs to be extended with an ageing population, but employment in this industry needs to be given priority- and its status raised
148	Support for local jobs would help to encourage families to stay in the area.
150	If broadband was improved in the area this would help
152	Very important to do all we can to encourage more opportunities for school

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
	leavers to stay in the area
154	No
157	While the area is hardly attractive to campers and properties used for holiday letting might restrict availability of housing and/or put up property prices, such facilities can be beneficial to the local economy
159	No intensive farming units-chickens or otherwise.
163	Should be encouraging the age group 20yrs to 30yrs to live/work in the local villages at the end of the day they are the future generations to keep village life going
164	No
166	To encourage young people by providing housing and jobs.
167	Generally to encourage young people to live in the area by providing suitable housing and jobs
170	No
172	The environmental impact of large scale farming (animal production) units should be managed very carefully to minimize water land and biodiversity damage in the area. This includes facilities for game birds
173	Improvements in broadband is a necessity
174	Preferably avoid industry that requires huge lorries-there are already plenty of these on our small dangerous lanes
175	Local businesses must be in keeping with the local environment and rural countryside.
176	Existing businesses should be fully supported for sensible expansion to allow them to remain, employing local people, supporting the community.
179	I think the vision for Titley Group could be damaged by the development of agriculture on an industrial scale. It would not necessarily be damaged by non-agricultural developments which provide jobs.
189	Not sure "local economy" is a relevant term. Titley is not an economic unit. Excludes Kington, Presteigne, Shobdon. Should be tackled at County level.
195	The maintenance of the road would be a big plus as travelling to work hitting pot holes and no salt in the winter would be a big plus
197	Ensure existing local businesses are supported and can grow without having to relocate, so allowing reasonable and appropriate/sustainable expansion. Allow small businesses to establish and employ people
207	It is essential that people be allowed and encouraged to work in the local area where appropriate to help to maintain the local economy and to reduce the outflow of young people and stop the area becoming a "grey" ghetto
211	Of interest to note: I moved my business premises from home-office too Presteigne for growth reasons
213	No comment

Q18: If there are any local features, views or habitat areas that you think should be protected, please describe them below.

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
2	Staunton Mote. Mill sites.
3	Wapley Hill + River Arrow
6	Rivers, mound
7	MOTTE + BAILEY WAPLEY HILL
9	Boundary markers-especially trees
12	All of S.O.A
14	Wapley Hill
17	MORE RESEARCH INTO LOCAL HABITAT BEFORE PLANNING ALLOWED
21	As above, all woodland, ancient trees, copses, ponds and traditional orchards and meadow grassland should be protected.
26	Wapley Hill and the views from it, including areas of rolling glacial moraine
28	Wapley Hill+ Wood-especially looking from Staunton-on-Arrow
30	Wapley wood, court wood, local reservoirs
33	THE MOUND
34	The Mound
36	Wapley Hill, Church Mound, River, Small areas of woodland, Old buildings, Hedgerows
37	All woodland areas
41	Please preserve Wapley Hill Keep it in balance-ie sensible forestry, sensible footpath maintenance, <u>sensible</u> amount of pheasants.
42	Wapley Hill. 'The Mound' at Staunton. Hedgerows generally. River Arrow.
47	Not known
48	Orchards to be kept as orchards
49	Titley Pools
50	Stagg Meadow
55	Eywood Titley Pools. Drovers road and the section of Mortimer Way through bluebell wood, Titley loop Eywood lane up to Oatcroft Hedges throughout village, especially up Green lane
56	Eywood park, Titley Pool
60	The area around Eywood-The Park in toto Wapley
66	Titley Pool-SSSI. Titley Loop-circular walk
72	Titley/Flintsham Pools. Wapley Hill Fort
81	Wapley Hill. Known to be dormouse habitat Titley Pool-nature Reserve
83	Titley Pools Eywood Parkland
84	Environmental protection should not be restrictive or expensive that it prevents development Upkeep of footpaths should include a contribution from regular users

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
85	The field that the Fete is held on should <u>never</u> be built on.
86	I'd like to see more emphasis placed on developing environmentally friendly farming practices/reduced pesticide use
87	I'd rather see emphasis placed on more environmentally friendly farming methods/practices than the creation of protected areas
88	Eywood Parkland and surrounding countryside +views. Nature Trust at Eywood. Stagg Meadow. Eywood orchard at the Lodge entrance. Public footpaths. Eywood Estate buildings. Sunken lane Titley to Kington. Most, if not all of our surrounding countryside should be protected.
91	All the lakes ponds and surrounding woodlands and wildlife
95	Traditional orchard at beginning of Eywood Estate. River Arrow and surrounding area. Area next to Titley Nature Trust
96	The several lakes and ponds in the area. Open green views unobstructed by "eyesores". The old and historic orchard and landscaping of the area. The views, landscaping and walking trails are very important. The locations adjacent to Offas Dyke and of ancient sites and parkland is of national importance
100	Stagg meadow Eywood Estate and Wildlife /Nature reserve environment ancient orchard- Eywood Lane
101	Eywood estate/all ponds and woodlands any tree of substantial age and size
102	Everything! Stagg meadow, Titley pool + nature trust. Eywood estate and parkland. All lakes all natural woodland.
109	All of them, Titley is a wonderful place to live
110	1) The whole of the Eywood parkland and existing listed buildings 2) The oak tree and Stagg meadow 3) Forge Lane and the meadows adjacent to the River Arrow up to Hinton Bridge
111	The whole of the Eywood parkland Estate. Oak tree in Stagg Meadow and Stagg Meadow Forge lane and the River Arrow meadows up to Hinton bridge
112	Titley pool and all views towards and from should be protected, both for the enjoyment of visitors and most importantly for the protection of wildlife.
116	Titley pool and surrounding Parklands with the outlook to and from it. Protection of wildlife and in particular bird life. It is and should remain a pleasure for visitors and habitants.
117	Old orchards estate land of historic interest
118	SSSI's, orchards, hedges, field edges, woodlands, pools, rivers etc... Farmland for food production, not biofuel maize. Either side of Eywood Lane should be reinstated as grassland, as it was never meant to be ploughed for crops.
119	The Stagg Meadow in Titley
120	1) Herefordshire Nature Trust, Titley Pool which is a SSSI 2) Eywood historic park and garden
122	Titley is a wet village. By that I mean it has many watercourses and pools. These should be protected at all costs. Phosphate run-off is already a problem in our locality

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
125	Balance Farm Heritage Orchard (UKBAP Site) Eywood Park and neighbouring woodlands Titley and Flintsham Pools Forge lane down to the river (beautiful hedgerows)
126	All open areas, as are now
128	All green spaces must be kept as they are
130	N/A
144	Nash Rocks scar, I think it should be designated as SSSI. Wildlife including GC Newts, peregrine falcon, ravens, Goshawks etc frequent the Old Quarry and it is at present disused and probably will not be re-opened, so why not protect it. I am looking into it already (Gordon Langton)
145	Nash Quarry
148	The Pools at Titley Rodd Woods The Old railway from Presteigne to Titley-perfect walking route if farmer could be persuaded to make it available.
150	The field adjacent to the Titley village hall
153	Wapley Hill, Titley Pool, Offa's Dyke, Mowley Wood, Horseway Head, The Rodd, Burnt House, Titley Mill
154	No
156	Old Railway track-Presteigne to Titley
157	a) The former railway track from Titley to Presteigne b) The footbridge and ford area at Nash
158	The watercourses in the area are suffering from excessive agricultural run-off and so require protection.
159	All watercourses should be protected from agricultural run-off-i.e. artificial fertilisers, chicken waste etc. from intensive farming units.
163	Mowley Wood Wapley
164	Stansbatch pond should be sensitively restored with protection of wildlife (e.g. Gr. Crested newts)
165	The village pond in Stansbatch could be restored to promote wildlife.
166	Mowley Wood-valley of the Arrow and Weopley wood
167	Mowley Wood and the valley of the Arrow Wapley hill
174	Too many to list!
174	Views from existing properties must be protected
178	Mowley Wood Wapley hill fort and woods
179	As in a previous answer the woodland environment of Mowley Wood is precious and should be accessible and protected.
195	The woods+ surrounding fields have many beautiful wild life/flowers so little places have this and it would be sad to see this lost i.e. the hare population has started to increase
200	Important to stop the pollution of waterways by agricultural and industrial run

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
	off.
204	Old oak trees Value the roadside verges as a place for wild flowers
205	Lakes, waterways and specimen trees
207	This area has some of the best examples of post glacial drumlinoid landscape in the country and although plans to protect them in the past have been ignored it would be good to keep some intact for posterity
208	Ponds on farmland should be protected
209	Old fruit orchards (Insect habitats and fruit tree species)
211	Hindwell Brook/Valley, Mowley Valley/Wood, Nash Wood, Wapley Hill + other small woods/copses that give the local area its character
213	All aspects of landscape should be protected + preserved
214	All of them!
215	Wapley Hill, Titley Pools
216	Flood meadows adjacent to Arrow
217	Mowley Wood, Wapley Hill Fort, Flintsham Ponds
218	Our verges, hedgerows. Wildlife awareness signs on roads where wildlife is known to cross i.e. deer, badgers etc Wapley. Too many pheasants introduced
219	Hedgerows Wapley Too many pheasants introduced
221	Titley Pools Titley Church and War memorial Stagg Meadow-(where Titley fete is held)

Q20: Do you have any other comments on the local environment? This is your opportunity to tell us your views on conserving and improving the local environment.

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
2	Hedges (on roadside) to be responsibility of farmers, maintaining own patch. They to be paid cost of fuel and a small retainer. <u>Not</u> use of cheapest contractor that achieve very little at 6 mph. Farmer would take pride in own patch and do it as necessary. Must keep local lengthsman.
3	Anaerobic digesters have caused noise issues and wrecked the roads and the size of the plant going back and for shakes our cottage (bad). The individual's quality of life has been eroded by the digester. Very selfish business venture. Money has ruled. We should save the countryside from such ventures!
6	Anaerobic digesters can smell
7	STAUNTON-ON-ARROW ALREADY SUFFERS FROM LIGHT POLLUTION FROM SOLAR PANELS IN FIELD (SOLAR FARM), AND NOISE POLLUTION FROM DIGESTER AT OLD COURT FARM
9	Too many ADs in this area. Solar farms acceptable if not visible
12	Too late for S.O.A-already spoiled-so called "green" technology is not as green as it is made out to be.
13	If the digester was genuinely using waste products + not next to housing it would not be so bad, but seeing the reality-No!!!!
17	LIMIT AD PLANTS BECAUSE IT IS CHANGING AGRICULTURE WITH SO MUCH LAND BEING TAKEN OUT OF FOOD PRODUCTION
21	The Herefordshire Wildlife Trust, Woodland Trust, and other relevant organisations should be encouraged to review and offer ideas for improvement of all areas of the neighbourhood.
23	No
26	With respect to biomass units/anaerobic digesters, these should only be used for genuinely waste materials and on a small, local scale where suitable roads are already available.
28	The anaerobic digesters are very <u>noisy</u> ! SOLAR FARMS, WIND TURBINES + BIOMASS UNITS ARE <u>UNSIGHTLY</u> TO THE COUNTRYSIDE BEAUTY. IF THEY ARE HIDDEN FROM VIEW <u>POSSIBLE</u> small scale development
30	Wind power is financially a nightmare and only succeeds in ruining the landscape.
31	Staunton village already suffers significant noise and light pollution from Anaerobic digester and Solar farm
32	Don't understand the above
36	Reduction in heavy haulage vehicles
37	I am extremely sceptical about some renewable energy projects (but not all), hence my rather 'scattergun' answer above. I think that community use of locally sourced renewable energy should be done at a cheaper rate than the open market.
39	[requiring provision to be made in new building] Yes but needs to be affordable
41	Renewable energy is essential but provision needs to be affordable so all people can do it easily

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
42	Eco-Houses/renewable energy requirements should not be only financially accessible to wealthy developers and affordable housing for local needs should not be hampered by it
43	Anaerobic digesters etc cause a huge increase in tractor traffic on local roads-most by contractors who have no interest in the damage they cause to road surfaces and verges.
46	The provision of solar panels must be subject to relevant planning approvals.
47	No
48	No more mass solar panel areas
60	Biomass or Anaerobic digesters need to be <u>sited</u> appropriately-A lot of traffic-Narrow lanes-not near houses
66	I don't feel that I am informed enough at the moment to comment on larger scale renewable energy farms/units/
67	Individual wind turbines only for domestic not commercial
75	Encourage existing dwelling to up-grade energy efficiency.
83	Energy conservation + renewable energy should be encouraged
84	Wind turbines for individual houses must be sited to avoid noise pollution (visual impact is not a problem)
87	Big yes to all this!
88	Encouragement for existing home owners to install some renewable energy.
95	Too many chicken houses and resulting smell from piles of chicken manure
96	Turbines, solar panels wind and solar farms and biomass units detract from the intrinsic natural and historic beauty of the landscape by interrupting the views ruining the areas already established attractions of walking, enjoying the countryside, and experiencing the calm and quiet, which increases happiness and health for all. Spinning rotors and blades, and flashing ad glaring sun panels and unnatural structures such as domes can only increase the stresses and anxieties of modern life that so many people suffer from these days. We already have the stench from intensive chicken farming ruining the area without adding to it again
100	How about being more frugal for starters. Recycle reuse and repair-cherish what remains in the countryside. Have walked the boundary of a rape field in bloom (choking pong) and deathly silence. Not one bee or buzzing insect-chilling-modern farming!
101	Ground Source Heat Pumps!! Stop putting cells on old building roofing and turbines in back gardens
102	Promote renewable energy, eco and sustainable living
109	Individual wind turbines are brilliant, but I would not like to see a whole farm of 10 or more. I do not know enough about <u>what is involved</u> with the other options such as ground or air sourced units. Would they cause damage or be an eyesore??
111	Light pollution must be prevented
115	I have marked "No" on first question above as stipulating this requirement may result in unsightly provisioning ie solar panels can now be unidentifiable in the form of standard looking roof tiles as opposed to unsightly large panels on roofs,
116	The area is particularly unique in that there are no industrial scale operational plants. It is very important that it remains so, in our ever diminishing countryside

Titley Group NDP · Questionnaire comment listings

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
118	Run-off from fields must surely affect Titley Pool, and certainly adds to flooding frequently in the lower parts of the village. Eywood Lane is still affected by mud that washed off fields some time ago in very heavy rains. Careful farm management needed (These fields should have been left as grass. See Q18)
121	Anaerobic digesters are already in place, plus if someone is unfortunate enough to live close to one it is, apparently, very unpleasant.
122	When new houses do inevitably happen, why when the scaffolding is already erected is there no responsibility by the builder to install solar panels? Surely this makes sense if the houses have roofs facing the right way.
125	Large scale solar and wind farms would have harmful impact on this beautiful landscape Existing anaerobic digester cause local nuisance (smells, noise, excessive mud on the roads as crops are harvested, road traffic safety is compromised by contractors driving the large trailer tractor combinations at inappropriate speeds on narrow roads)
126	No opinion
129	Use local materials and local labour and skills.
130	N/A
133	Improving the roads Taking into account that this is an intensive farming community.
148	There should be more control of farmers' enterprises that effect local homes e.g. Staunton on Arrow has a digester that has affected homes with noise, smells and lowered the market value of houses.
149	In the right places solar panels, solar farms and wind farms can be fine They can also be an eyesore. As we are looking to the future we should not forget energy from water sources.
150	Farmers taking land out of Agriculture for Anaerobic digester is not alright. If they use waste from the area of farm that is <u>good</u>
153	Don't know the difference between an anaerobic digester and a biomass unit
154	No
159	Feel very strongly that all new build (houses/offices) should include some renewable energy facility and/or rainwater harvesting. It is so easy to install when a new build
160	Conserving the local environment is essential. All developments must be in sympathy. Renewable energy measures are fine as long as they don't take over the landscape or mean crops are grown for biodigesters (presumably to meet government funded incentives) rather than for people
164	Protection of established hedgerows and encouragement to plant new hedgerows to encourage wild life
167	To preserve the current environment as far as possible taking into account the need to provide employment and suitable housing for young people
174	Conservation of and respect for the local environment should be maintained
175	The peaceful nature of the countryside must be maintained except where there is existing noise e.g. near Shobdon Airfield

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
176	I would say that the majority of industrial scale renewables is in place already
179	Massive agricultural vehicles are very damaging to our lanes, verges and hedgerows which are a precious part of our environment and should be preserved.
180	All buildings new and old should avoid noise and light pollution. The proliferation of ever larger agricultural vehicles is damaging the local roads
191	I understand that there is an anaerobic digester in Staunton-on-Arrow village which when I have passed it is noisy and odorous and I would not want to live next to one. I don't know enough of or have had experience of biomass units to comment.
195	Solar panels if not in view
204	These need to be to the benefit of the local community if on a medium/large scale-not just for the landowner. Also have considerable impact on views/tourism/road use/smell + noise
207	We live in a unique environment, the evidence of which needs to be preserved but not at the expense of development to meet local needs in a sustainable and proportionate manner
213	Smaller scale changes would have less impact on environment and would be more desirable
214	Facilities such as a childrens play/adventure area could be created (eg on Stag Meadow!)
215	Unless using locally derived waste material (mainly, since some crop material may be needed to balance digestion)
216	Water management of new developments is important-surface run-off, pollution of watercourses, impact of flash-floods, storage of rainfall on pasture/meadows. Use of permeable surfaces e.g no tarmac driveways
217	Any plan for commercial energy production should benefit the local community by providing subsidised energy for all or public buildings-Church, village hall, schools etc.
217	I left local shop/pubs and cafes blank. We only have the Stag-for tourists and locals a café/shop /craft centre open regularly would be nice-but I don't think it would be viable.
218	We don't have a communal area-could the Churchyard be used as a communal outside space + the Church for more cultural events i.e. concerts + exhibitions.

Q22: Do you think that more leisure and recreational facilities are needed? Please tell us how and where this could be achieved. Are there any other community services you would like to see provided, if possible?

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
2	Need Boule Rink – say adjacent to church.
3	The medical provision is inadequate at Kington (most of our Drs have left). We need a bus service.
4	If the bus service was better then young and old could get to the leisure facilities elsewhere. Outdoor gyms.
6	Better buses so people can get to the leisure facilities elsewhere out door gym
7	NO
8	A doctors surgery. This might be held twice a week in the village hall.
9	GP surgery/chemist Bank/post office facility ?Community led shop for emergency groceries etc Public telephone box. Defibrillator
12	Where will we get our food from now all these farms are growing crops to fill digesters-huge vehicle traffic on roads 'green issues'-noise pollution
19	Potential for village green
21	No more leisure recreational facilities are required-there is nowhere for visitors to spend their money and it would lead to increased traffic on unsuitable roads
26	No, just maintenance and publicising existing ones cycle routes, footpaths , etc.
27	One huge point is the impact of Brexit. The situation could be completely different in 3 years time especially for farming, when the NDP is only just starting it may in some respects be obsolete!
28	A walking club would be nice in Staunton on Arrow social club/luncheon club for the elderly (50+) at Pembridge or Staunton on Arrow. <u>Local</u> Community Wheels driver or subsidized cheaper local taxi.
30	I think that certain leisure facilities would be excellent, if we are considering the elderly then perhaps a spa of some kind, that uses natures views to obtain customers, the introduction of a country club would hold merit and create solidarities for members of our parish and its exclusivity would encourage people to do good deeds e.g. charity runs, forestation, to gain membership.
36	Dedicated walks. Join in with local walking festivals
37	No. I think that most of this type of facility has to be travelled to, and is probable more cost effective to deliver for the council.
38	Solar swimming pool, Tennis court-more sport facilities
39	Not necessarily-the countryside offers ample opportunity for leisure& recreation e.g Wapley Hill for walking, running, mountain biking etc. These need to be preserved and maintained.
42	Community shop would be nice. More community (not religious) use made of church and surrounds.
43	Local Bus Services
47	No
48	A local pub and a local shop would be lovely

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
49	To encourage more young families and provide a play area for those here already a small easily maintained playground would be very useful
50	Local caravan/tent sites to be encouraged
54	Titely is not a Village, but a small Hamlet. Kington is our nearest "Town"! Kington now has no banks and a Medical Centre that cannot cope with the present demand
55	Presteigne and Kington have sports facilities, playgrounds etc just 3 miles away.
58	Public footpaths should be looked at as some roads not safe to walk. Could also be cycle paths
59	Should link in with other activities in the area and contribute e.g. Kington Walking festival.
60	The Titely Village hall is a perfect example of the way in which it can draw together the Community. More facilities in villages to bring people together regularly.
64	Childrens play area Community garden
66	The village hall is a good venue for leisure + recreational facilities. Perhaps more promotion for hiring the hall to interested parties.
74	Tennis court/Football area + childrens playground
75	More widespread use of village halls eg. Flicks in the sticks. Any activities to bond local community
82	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Basketball court by Titely Village hall • Tennis court below Balance Farm/barn conversions • Cricket pitch on Stag Meadow • Cinema facilities in village halls • Footpaths with safe footing for safe running (running along roads is dangerous because of traffic) • Community pubs/bars/cafes for local residents primarily
83	A childrens' playground More community events at the Village hall for local Village folk .g. film nights (Flix in the Stix); coffee mornings There are few opportunities for local village people to meet together.
88	A tennis court near the village hall would be great. More workshops/courses at village hall would be good too.
95	Village hall should be used for the local community general get togethers for coffee and a chat possible a meal
97	Childrens play area @VH-could be a natural play area, or near Titely pools? Toilets for visitors Community larder Wildlife walks as well as Titely loop
98	A local shop would be ideal in the local area of Titely
99	Titely needs a local shop
100	No-maintain the peace and quiet-make your own entertainment Village hall-more events poss. Flicks in the Sticks etc.
101	NO!! footpaths are leisure enough for everyone in such a small local community

Titely Group NDP · Questionnaire comment listings

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
102	Park/tennis court/football goal/rec' area
110	The village lacks a heart at the moment which the village hall and Staggs meadow could provide if used more fully and imaginatively Community shop-bread, milk, papers, local produce eg. The one in Eardisland by the bridge
111	Village halls to be used as drop in centres, occasional cafes meeting places etc Community Shop
115	Titley is fortunate in having a pub/restaurant and a parish church-this is suffice for a village with nearby towns such as Kington and Presteigne Visiting local produce suppliers ie bread and butcher vans should be encouraged mobile library services should be encouraged
116	A mobile library may be useful for some. The village hall people do a great job
121	A post office facility would be brilliant.
122	Village halls are very important, but as usual, any activities rely on one motivated individual to get things running (on an unpaid basis). A paid co-ordinator, connecting several village halls would be a great asset as these buildings spend a great deal of time empty.
126	No opinion
128	Need somewhere where the older persons can meet up as most live on their own or looking after older person
129	Community spaces, for community veg plots, share lawn mowers and cookers etc.
130	N/A
144	For Titley we are well served by leisure & recreation facilities. The community is not large enough for more.
148	We are lucky to have good parish halls/village halls and these need to be cherished as they are the only facilities we have really.
149	We are lucky to have good, well used parish and village halls.
150	Mobile Post Office Mobile Library
153	I think these will self-organise if they have places to meet/the need- e.g. gardening clubs, transition interests, farmers' markets etc. One question: what about <u>Schools</u> ? Primary schools?
154	No
157	Home care services provided by Third Sector organisation(s) for the disabled and elderly. Day Care (e.g East Radnorshire day Centre in Presteigne in Powys) which offer care to folk in our area <u>must</u> be protected
159	Walking trails etc seem well publicised. There is an interesting arts venue at the Rodd which could be made more use of with funding from the Parish Council perhaps.
160	No more leisure or recreational facilities are needed
163	There are facilities in both Kington and Presteigne
164	No
166	Use of churches and village halls for young children. A gym would be good. But run by young people.

Titley Group NDP · Questionnaire comment listings

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
167	Yes. Use of village halls. Use of Churches other than for worship. Provision of a gym or similar facility run and organised by young people.
170	Bus services for old people to take them to leisure social activities
172	The current ones should be maintained
175	A village shop would be welcome. Better footpaths-cleared and made dog friendly (e.g. gates instead of stiles)
178	Shop and pub in Staunton on Arrow
191	Possibly a small campsite
195	No, as the surrounding areas have this--
199	I have ticked public transport as "not important" because I think it unrealistic in this Community to expect public transport-we need really good broadband + mobile reception!
204	Community use of some private facilities eg tennis court/swimming pools! eg once a week-encourage the wealthy to share! (within reason + respect for their property and privacy)
207	Although local services are important their long term viability is always going to be limited by the sparse and dispersed nature of the area's population and the ease of travelling to services out of the area for many people. The real problem is for non-car drivers.
208	There are no children's play areas in the Parish-provision should be made in both Staunton and Titley
209	Children's play area near Titley village hall
211	Leisure and recreational facility would be provided by pedestrian and cycle link between Presteigne, Kington and Pembridge See answers given for Q10 For success, next steps would be to coordinate + liaise with landowners and adjacent NDPs
213	Yes perhaps facilities for children play area. Allotments for gardeners etc.
215	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • [public transport] not viable • whilst direct support for churches is inappropriate (we attend a self-supporting church elsewhere) the plan ought to be generous to parish (and other) churches in planning matters recognising the need they fulfil in the local community
216	Better public footpaths that link up properly - so they can be used instead of the road
221	Small childrens play area attached to the Village Hall.

We have tried to cover what we think are the main issues in this questionnaire. If we have missed anything you think should be included, please tell us below. Remember, the NDP will be in place for the next 15 years, so it is important we make sure that everything is covered.

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
2	ESSENTIAL Young people need to be encouraged – they require transport and jobs. Rural need same ‘services’ as urban e.g. a doctor, dentist and especially BROADBAND lack of which is already affecting ability to let or sell property.
3	The medical provision is inadequate at Kington (most of our doctors have left) We need a bus service
6	Broadband!
17	AGRICULTURAL PLANNING SHOULD BE MORE RIGOROUSLY ENFORCED LOOK AT THE OLD COURT AD AND CURRENT BUILDING
26	No need to provide an envelope for return but ask people to reuse the original envelope.
28	The roads in Staunton on Arrow are in <u>dire need</u> of a solution to the heavy traffic use. The <u>enormous</u> tractors used for agriculture/local industry are <u>too heavy</u> for these small roads, hence we always have potholes galore. Should these heavy vehicles contribute more in road tax to help with the need of constant repair?! OR could farm tracks be created?
30	Modernisation, adding to our parish’s roots by encompassing it with technology, to create a parish that respects wildlife and tradition but is not afraid of the future.
36	Housing for local need, supporting first home buyers, encouraging self-build and live/work units are the most essential aspects affecting housing growth in these communities. [Supplementary point re Q13]: percentage (property for short term holiday letting) needs to be capped to reduce loss of properties for local needs.
42	We need to protect our countryside from unscrupulous developers whilst allowing small scale development to maintain a vibrant community.
46	If new houses are to be provided, then perhaps Titley could be considered since they have more services/facilities (eg. bus, pub, etc)
47	How are schools in the area going to be upgraded to compensate for new families
59	Care in the community will be important with older population
60	It is so necessary to keep the communities alive-in age demographic-but <u>where</u> do people want to live? Where is the work? We need more infrastructure our local towns to keep things going. Why do people want to live in the country? Where do the younger people go to work/play? Where are the facilities? How can we encourage the life of a small village? What’s to offer.
88	My main concern is that our beautiful, rural, historical village is being exploited by new developments, by people not living within Titley. The character of our village is changing rather than being enhanced, and the emphasis being on money making rather than on need or environmental sensitivity.
101	If the NDP hopes to secure land with PP to solve the problems then no affordable houses will be made as the land value on its own is beyond affordable for a plot C-80-120k for plot-That is what is affordable for small 2 bed. This has to be

Titley Group NDP · Questionnaire comment listings

Questionnaire Ref	Comment
	approached outside conventional open market which is broken due to supply << demand CLT is best option-see Lyonshall
115	I would like to take this opportunity to thank the hard working volunteers and members of the community who have made this important initiative happen. As we live in a rural community I would like to see <u>all</u> development cater for displaced wildlife to try and balance human/wildlife needs. Therefore surveys to check for wildlife and habitation relocation as a pre-requisite eg Bird boxes, bat boxes, hedgehog trails, etc.
122	One of the reasons why I live here is its' dark sky. There are no street lights in Titley and I hope that will always be the case. They are unnecessary and intrusive
137	-Look at the demographic changes for the future years -Look at growing areas of need, age care, sheltered community accommodation for independent but ageing population -affordable rent/buy homes for young families.
142	Why was I not informed of these open meetings? <u>Who</u> should have informed us and why did they not do their job? Perhaps you could look into this for me. As I do not feel as if my opinion counts when told so late in the day by my neighbour.
143	What a shame I wasn't informed about the recent meetings held. You get the feeling that there were only certain people told.
164	Well done on a comprehensive q'aire
167	It is of the utmost importance to provide suitable broadband speeds, mainly for small businesses, but also for private users. My current download speed is 0.7mps! Disgraceful! At the same time the mobile phone coverage must be improved
196	Sorry my writing not good bad hands Arthritis
204	Tourism development eg traffic free + commuter cycle way on old railway line (mentioned under transport)
207	An excellent questionnaire. Thank you for giving us the chance to voice our opinions and frustrations. I know it won't be easy to meet everyone's wishes- Good luck.